

Internat Application No.
PCT/JP03/07173

A CLAS	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTERCl ⁷ A61K9/70, 31/4468, 47/32	, 47/34, A61P25/02, 25/0	4
According	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both	national classification and IPC	
B. FIEL	DS SEARCHED		
Minimum	documentation searched (classification system follows . C1 ⁷ A61K9/70, 31/4468, 47/32,	ed by classification symbols) , 47/34, A61P25/02, 25/0	4
	·		· ·
Jits Koka	ation searched other than minimum documentation to to Suyo Shinan Koho 1922-1996 ii Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2003	Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koh	o 1994–2003
Electronic CAP	data base consulted during the international search (na LUS (STN), Medline (STN), BIOSIS	me of data base and, where practicable, sea (STN), EMBASE (STN)	rch terms used)
C. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	JP 1-83029 U (Nitto Denko C	orp.),	1,3-5,9-11 6-8,12
Y A	02 June, 1989 (02.06.89), Particularly, Claims; page 2	, lines 8 to 15;	2
	page 8, lines 14 to 19; page page 11, lines 5 to last lin	10, lines 5 to 8;	
Y	WO 99/53906 A1 (Hisamitsu P	harmaceutical Co.,	6,7,12
	Inc.), 28 October, 1999 (28.10.99),		
	Particularly, page 6, lines	3 to 4; page 7,	
	lines 10 to 17 & EP 1074251 A1 & JE	? 11-302161 A	
Y	JP 7-138154 A (Bando Chemica	al Industries, Ltd.),	8
	30 May, 1995 (30.05.95), Particularly, Par. Nos. [001		
	(Family: none)	0,, (0011)	
× Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited priority date and not in conflict with the applicat			e application but cited to
considered to be of particular relevance		understand the principle or theory unde	erlying the invention laimed invention cannot be
date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is		considered novel or cannot be consider	ed to involve an inventive
cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		"Y" document of particular relevance; the c considered to involve an inventive step	when the document is
"O" docume means	ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	combined with one or more other such combination being obvious to a person	skilled in the art
"P" docume	ent published prior to the international filing date but later priority date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent f	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 02 July, 2003 (02.07.03)		Date of mailing of the international search report 15 July, 2003 (15.07.03)	
Name	ilia alla segue accidente	Authorized officer	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		Additionized offices	
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	



TO A 10 10 (continuation of second cheet) (Tuly 1009)

International application No.
PCT/JP03/07173

C (Continua	ntion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	JP 6-98931 A (Nitto Denko Corp.), 12 April, 1994 (12.04.94), Full text (Family: none)	1-12
P,A	<pre>JP 2002-263130 A (Lion Corp.), 17 September, 2002 (17.09.02), Full text (Family: none)</pre>	1-12
P, A	JP 2002-363069 A (Nitto Denko Corp.), 18 December, 2002 (18.12.02), Full text (Family: none)	1-12
	·	
	·	



Internation populication No. PC1/JP03/07173

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Although claims 1 to 12 each relates to a patch composed of a substrate made of a polyester-based film and a drug-containing pressure-sensitive adhesive layer laminated thereon, such patches had been commonly employed and, therefore, cannot be considered as "a special technical feature" as defined in PCT Rule 13.2. The "special technical feature" of claim 1 and parts of claims 3 to 12 resides in that the surface roughness of the polyester-based film surface in the side being in contact with the pressure-sensitive adhesive layer is from 0.05 to 0.8 µmRa. The "special technical feature" of claim (continued to extra sheet) 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. X As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.



International application No.
PCT/JP03/07173

Continuation of Box No.II of continuation of first sheet(1)

2 and parts of claims 3 to 12 resides in that the surface of the polyester-based film in the side being in contact with the pressure-sensitive adhesive layer has been sandblasted.

Such being the case, it does not appear that there is a technical relationship between these groups of inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features and, therefore, these groups of inventions are not considered as relating to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.